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Critique

Introduction needs more of an attention grabber; it was too quick of a transition to the main points without emphasizing the excitement of the job. More background, it was way too quick, could have used more detail when explaining my experiences. Because of this there was no flow making the speech sound choppy. Needed way more practice with the partner when explaining combative and non-combative, this made the instruction a little sloppy. More detailed emphasis on the partner (officer), could explain and demonstrate his/her duties. More examples of why I check each body part, could show a visual aid of how people sneak things into their skin and/or body. Wrong word choice on the pocket check it’s actually dog ear. More examples on the left right center hold, even though it can be seen as a sexual act it is important to explain what can be hidden and its dangers. My speaking itself had some ums that could be fixed. The main problem was the transitions to the conclusion, they needed more of a weaving effect than straight to the point. The conclusion itself was literally one sentence that could’ve been stretched out into something more. More explanation on the handcuffs, could have brought an extra pair to pass around (with a key of course).

Introduction: 3, Choice of Subject: 4, Transitions and Headings: 2, Proof: 3, Use of Language: 4, Use of Voice: 3, Physical Delivery: 3, Conclusion: 1.

Thesis: “I’m going to demonstrate how to apprehend and search.”

I. “Gain Dominance” II. “What you do in the beginning of the search” III. “What to do if you find something”